

Application No. 10/684,985

Docket No.: 100200410-2


having non resolute (sic) results, the Examiner will change the title of the invention." This objection was not made in the Office Action mailed September 21, 2006. Contrary to the Examiner's assertion, no invitation to the Applicant "to participate in the naming of the invention" on the basis it was not "brief but technically accurate and descriptive" is seen in that Office Action. The ONLY objection to the title made in that Office Action was that it should not have 'legal' words like "method" and "system", see page 2 of the Office Action. Applicant responded: "The Examiner cites no authority for this objection, and offers no explanation as to why words like 'method' and 'system' are considered 'legal words' that are not permitted in a patent application's title. Applicant finds no authority in 37 C.F.R. §1.72 or M.P.E.P. §606 for objecting to an application's title for containing the words 'method' and 'system'. That rebutted and completely responded to the Examiner's objections against the title in the Office Action.

This amendment requires no additional examination effort by the Examiner. Entry of the amendment is believed proper and is respectfully requested.


I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office at (571) 273-6300 on

Date: January 19, 2007

Name: Debi Bonacquisti

Signature: 

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

N. Rhys Merrett
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 27,250
Date: December 31, 2006
Telephone No. (425) 402-4638